**December 2020**

**HPRU PPIE strategy development v2 comments**

The HPRU BSE public involvement team, in collaboration with our public contributor strategy group has developed our patient and public involvement strategy.

It is our vision that patient and public involvement should be/provide a strong consistent voice throughout.at the core of the work of the HPRU. We believe that this is ethically the right thing to do and that public involvement will improve the quality and relevance of our health research.

Aims

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. To ensure that public and patient involvement permeates the research taking place across the HPRU | * Is integral better? Permeates feels negative |
| 1. To ensure that there is a strong public voice in the governance processes of the HPRU | Do we have any say in ethics, safeguarding Etc. – to give a voice in the process by the public, for the public to protect the public |
| 1. To work towards achieving diversity in our PPI, diversity that is appropriate and relevant to research | * Questions and projects? * Is this strong enough or deliberately aspirational? * Should we say something regarding cultural as certain things are taboo subjects to talk about (eg sickle cell and Thalassaemia). Maybe this is a second part to it when we talk about how we will achieve the aims |
| 1. To ensure that our researcher and public contributors are upskilled and supported to undertake their PPI roles confidently and effectively | Re upskilled and effectively – are these two separate but linked points ie: a) to ensure that researchers are upskilled to have the skills to undertake PPI and b) public contributors are supported in a variety of ways to have their voices heard. |
| 1. To ensure that there is effective monitoring of the public and patient involvement | What sort of monitoring? Is it the same as point 8 – ie: data to evaluate impact of PPI or is it monitoring to ensure that PPI is embedded in all HPRU research |
| 1. To develop tools to carry out ‘on the job’ assessment of PPI quality and outcomes | Do you mean rapid, or first trial testing of how the public would assess success/failure? |
| 1. To develop efficient and effective forms of communication between researchers ad public contributors | Many ways to be involved |
| 1. We will develop tools to support the evaluation of public involvement. These will be used to evaluate whether we have been successful in creating the conditions and processes that will enable impactful public involvement. | * Rephrased to:   We will develop the tools to enable us to evaluate the impact of public involvement. These will be used to evaluate whether we have been successful in creating the conditions and processes that will enable impactful PPI. |

Other comments

* In the meeting we talked about accessibility – can this be added to the aims that on rdoeer to be inclusive, we will be mindful of offering different ways to be involved? We need to cover the different mediums/media and the different roles in order to achieve that.

Our Public engagement aims are:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. To work closely with KM and Comms to identify areas for collaborative working opportunities where public involvement will work first, fastest and to full effect. |  |
| 2) To provide information, through appropriate mediums od opportunities to get involved in HPRU research | - this is the key one as we need to get the right people involved (public) who may know someone or know something on the subject the research is taking place. They have invested interest on the topic |
| 1. To support two way conversation between the public and researchers | About developing ways of disseminating but also bringing people into the research process |
| 1. To support the dissemination of research findings to non-academic audiences and where appropriate, achieve this through innovative mediums | * I think the story telling was to do with the Somali community and it should certainly work * A sounding board for different forms of public focused messaging |
| 1. To create opportunities where the public can input knowledge, ideas and experiences that may shape the future of research. To capture skills and knowledge wider than academic and public office channels. | * Should this point come higher up the list? (at least before dissemination) * ‘may shape’ feels weak change to ‘will help to shape’ |